Thermodynamic Properties ($\Delta H_{\rm f}(298)$, S(298), and $C_p(T)$ (300 $\leq T \leq 1500$)) of Fluorinated Propanes

Takahiro Yamada and Joseph W. Bozzelli*

Departments of Chemical Engineering, Chemistry, and Environmental Science, New Jersey Institute of Technology, Newark, New Jersey 07102

R. J. Berry

Materials Lab, ML-MLBT, Wright Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio Received: October 30, 1998; In Final Form: March 9, 1999

Thermodynamic properties ($\Delta H_{f}^{\circ}{}_{298}$, $S^{\circ}{}_{298}$, and $C_p(T)$ (300 \leq T/K \leq 1500)), frequencies, geometries, and internal rotational barriers for 1-fluoropropane, 1,1-difluoropropane, 1,1,1-trifluoropropane, 1,2-difluoropropane, 1,1,2-trifluoropropane, 1,1,2,2-tetrafluoropropane, 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoropropane, and 1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoropropane are calculated using ab initio methods. Enthalpies of formation ($\Delta H_{f}^{\circ}{}_{298}$ in kcal/mol) for the above eight compounds are estimated using the G2MP2 composite calculation method and isodesmic reactions. Entropies ($S^{\circ}{}_{298}$ in cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹) and heat capacities ($C_p(T)$ in cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹) are estimated using HF/6-31G(d) determined frequencies and MP2(full)/6-31G(d) determined geometries. $\Delta H_{f}^{\circ}{}_{298}$ for the above compounds are -67.37, -123.66, -183.09, -109.75, -164.68, -216.38, -221.57, and -271.14 kcal/mol, respectively. Rotational barriers are determined, and hindered internal rotational contributions for $S^{\circ}{}_{298}$ and $C_p(T)$ are calculated using the rigid rotor harmonic oscillator approximation with direct integration over energy levels of the intramolecular rotation potential energy curve.

Introduction

Chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) are being replaced by hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) and hydrochlorofluorocarbons(HCFCs) because of their noneffects or less adverse effects on the stratospheric ozone layer. Examples includes HFC-134a (CH₂-FCF₃), a replacement for CFC-12 (CF₂Cl₂) in domestic refrigeration and automobile air conditioning units,¹ and HFC-227ea (CF₃CFHCF₃), a replacement for Halon 1301 (CF3Br) as fire suppressants^{2.3} and for CFC114 (CF₂ClCF₂Cl) as refrigerant.³

A number of theoretical and experimental studies on thermodynamic properties ($\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}_{298}$, S°_{298} , and $C_p(T)$ (300 $\leq T/{\rm K} \leq 1500$)), frequencies, and internal rotational barrier for fluorinated ethane compounds have been reported.^{4–13} However, literature data on the estimation of thermodynamic properties for fluorinated propane compounds are limited to 1-fluoropropane, 2-fluoropropane, and 2,2-difluoropropane.^{4,5}

Method

1. Enthalpies of Formation ($\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}_{298}$) Calculations. $\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}_{298}$ values are estimated using the total energies obtained by the G2MP2 composite ab initio calculation method¹⁴ and isodesmic reactions. All ab initio calculations are performed using the Gaussian94¹⁵ system of programs. ZPVE's and thermal corrections to 298.15 K are obtained with scaled frequencies, 0.8929, as widely used in theoretical thermochemical calculation¹⁶ for frequencies determined at the HF/6-31G(d) level of theory. The general isodesmic reaction to calculate $\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}_{298}$ of fluorinated propanes is

$$CH_{(3-x)}F_{x}CH_{(2-y)}F_{y}CH_{(3-z)}F_{z} + CH_{3}CH_{3} \rightarrow CH_{3}CH_{(2-y)}F_{y}CH_{3} + CH_{(3-x)}F_{x}CH_{(3-z)}F_{z}$$
(1)

where

 $1 \le x \le 3$ $0 \le y \le 2$ $0 \le z \le 3$

The method of isodesmic reactions relies on the similarity of the bonding environment in the reactants and products that leads to cancellation of systematic errors in the ab initio MO calculations.¹⁶ The basic requirement of the isodesmic reaction is bond type conservation, where the number of each formal chemical bond type is conserved in the reaction. An isodesmic reaction will lead to more accurate results if groups are conserved in the reaction scheme.¹⁷ This results because interactions of next-nearest-neighbor atoms in reactants and products are conserved in addition to bond types.

Since $\Delta H_f^{\circ}_{298}$ for CH₃CH₃, CH₃CH₂CH₃, and CH_(3-*x*)F_{*x*}-CH_(3-*z*)F_{*z*} are well-known⁴⁻⁶ and values for CH₃CFHCH₃ and CH₃CF₂CH₃ are reported,⁵ the remaining compounds, CH_(3-*x*)F_{*x*}-CH_(2-*y*)F_{*y*}CH_(3-*z*)F_{*z*}, in reaction 1 can be accurately estimated from the calculated $\Delta H_{txn}^{\circ}_{298}$. For example, 1-fluoropropane is estimated by the isodesmic reaction

$$CH_2FCH_2CH_3 + CH_3CH_3 \rightarrow CH_3CH_3CH_3 + CH_3FCH_3 + CH_3FCH_3 (2)$$

G2MP2 composite calculations are performed for all four compounds in reaction 2, and the enthalpy of reaction ($\Delta H_{rxn}^{\circ}{}_{298}$) is calculated. Evaluated literature data for $\Delta H_{f}^{\circ}{}_{298}$ on CH₃CH₃, CH₃CH₂CH₃, and CFH₂CH₃ and $\Delta H_{rxn}^{\circ}{}_{298}$ are used to calculate

10.1021/jp984294a CCC: \$18.00 © 1999 American Chemical Society Published on Web 06/24/1999



* +: Clockwise, -: Counter clockwise. The direction of dihedral angle observation is C1 \rightarrow C2.

Figure 1. MP2(full)/6-31G(d) determined geometry of 1-fluoropropane.



* +: Clockwise, -: Counter clockwise. The direction of dihedral angle observation is C1 \rightarrow C2.

Figure 2. MP2(full)/6-31G(d) determined geometry of 1,1-difluoropropane.

 $\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}_{298}$ of CFH₂CH₂CH₃. Seven additional fluorinated propanes are calculated in the same manner. We suggest this as a general isodesmic reaction scheme for estimation of monohalogenated propanes.



*+: Clockwise, -: Counter clockwise. The direction of dihedral angle observation is C1 \rightarrow C2.

Figure 3. MP2(full)/6-31G(d) determined geometry of 1,1,1-trifluoropropane.



Bond Length	Á
C1-C2	1.513
C2-C3	1.507
C1-F4	1.396
C1-H5	1.093
C1-H6	1.094
C2-F7	1.404
C2-H8	1.095
С3-Н9	1.092
C3-H10	1.093
C3-H11	1.092
Bond Angle	Degree
∠C1C2C3	113.3
∠F4C1C2	108.4
∠H5C1C2	110.8
∠H6C1C2	110.2
∠F7C2C1	105.6
∠H8C2C1	109.6
∠H9C3C2	109.8
∠H10C3C2	109.8
∠H11C3C2	110.4
Dihedral Angle	Degree*
ZF4C1C2C3	+62.1
	-178.3

* +: Clockwise, -: Counter clockwise. The direction of dihedral angle observation is $C1 \rightarrow C2$.

Figure 4. MP2(full)/6-31G(d) determined geometry of 1,2-difluoro-propane.

G2MP2 calculations are performed on the most stable conformer of each compound, and the $\Delta H_{f^{\circ}298}$'s of this

TA	BL	E	1:	Vibrational	Freq	uencies ^a	(in	cm ⁻	1)
----	----	---	----	-------------	------	----------------------	-----	-----------------	----

species	CH ₂ FCH ₂ CH ₃	CHF ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	CF ₃ CH ₂ CH ₃	CH ₂ FCHFCH ₃	CHF ₂ CHFCH ₃	CHF ₂ CF ₂ CH ₃	CF ₃ CFHCH ₃	CF ₃ CF ₂ CH ₃
ν_1	152^{b}	119^{b}	111 ^b	128^{b}	94 ^b	93 ^b	84^b	76 ^b
ν_2	243^{b}	236^{b}	234^{b}	242^{b}	237^{b}	225^{b}	230^{b}	229^{b}
ν_3	337	271	244	274	262	249	244	235
ν_4	518	414	395	409	276	263	269	248
ν_5	838	541	444	475	418	389	364	360
ν_6	932	738	583	527	492	389	448	383
ν_7	1003	861	593	919	615	527	513	398
ν_8	1070	928	676	1018	738	558	588	483
ν_9	1181	1071	869	1061	917	594	629	551
ν_{10}	1233	1132	880	1191	1054	703	731	644
ν_{11}	1293	1209	1064	1233	1130	870	878	651
ν_{12}	1395	1283	1160	1268	1247	1084	1012	689
ν_{13}	1424	1289	1178	1297	1255	1097	1137	847
ν_{14}	1520	1418	1350	1379	1264	1257	1235	1033
ν_{15}	1567	1531	1350	1478	1322	1285	1273	1093
ν_{16}	1582	1556	1426	1529	1483	1354	1342	1260
ν_{17}	1626	1565	1471	1580	1522	1365	1388	1376
ν_{18}	1642	1582	1558	1589	1560	1436	1463	1381
ν_{19}	1653	1627	1576	1634	1580	1541	1512	1398
ν_{20}	1677	1645	1629	1641	1595	1574	1575	1424
ν_{21}	3201	1656	1644	1680	1635	1602	1587	1568
ν_{22}	3207	3220	1657	3219	1649	1628	1636	1584
ν_{23}	3233	3225	3225	3261	3232	1646	1648	1628
ν_{24}	3244	3258	3245	3263	3271	3244	3234	1642
ν_{25}	3266	3287	3282	3291	3303	3323	3288	3246
ν_{26}	3286	3301	3292	3303	3318	3333	3309	3327
ν_{27}	3290	3313	3304	3319	3335	3348	3318	3334

^{*a*} Nonscaled. Frequencies are calculated at the HF/6-31G(d) level of theory. ^{*b*} Torsional frequencies. These frequencies are not included in the calculation of entropies S°_{298} and heat capacities $C_p(T)$.

TABLE 2: G2MP2 Total Energy	gies
-----------------------------	------

species	total energy ^a	species	total energy ^a
CH ₃ CH ₃	-79.624 450	CH ₃ CHFCH ₃	-218.006 412
CH ₂ FCH ₃	-178.775 279	CH ₃ CF ₂ CH ₃	-317.177 527
CHF ₂ CH ₃	-277.942 239	CFH ₂ CFHCH ₃	-317.152 673
CF ₃ CH ₃	-377.116 202	CF ₂ HCFHCH ₃	-416.316 634
CH ₃ CH ₂ CH ₃	-118.847 504	CF ₂ HCF ₂ CH ₃	-515.482 714
CFH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	-217.998 301	CF ₃ CFHCH ₃	-515.487 735
CF ₂ HCH ₂ CH ₃	-317.165 109	CF ₃ CF ₂ CH ₃	-614.650 639
CF ₃ HCH ₂ CH ₃	-416.339 752		

^a ZPVE's and thermal corrections to 298 K are included. Energies are in hartrees.

TABLE 3: Enthalpies of Formation ($\Delta H_{f}^{\circ}_{298}$) Literature Values for Use in Isodesmic Reactions

species	$\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}{}_{298}$ (kcal/mol)	species	$\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}{}_{298}$ (kcal/mol)
CH ₃ CH ₃	-20.24^{a}	CH ₃ CH ₂ CH ₃	-24.82^{a}
CH ₂ FCH ₃	-62.90^{b}	CH ₃ CHFCH ₃	-70.15°
CHF ₂ CH ₃	-119.70^{b}	CH ₃ CF ₂ CH ₃	-124.88°
CF ₃ CH ₃	-178.20^{b}		

^a Reference 4. ^b Reference 6. ^c Reference 5.

conformer are calculated using isodesmic reactions. $\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}{}_{298}$'s of other rotational conformers, if present, are estimated with energy differences between the lowest energy conformer at the MP2/6-31G(d) level of theory. Final $\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}{}_{298}$ values are from a statistical distribution of rotational conformers.

2. Standard Entropy (S°_{298}) and Heat Capacities ($C_p(T)$ (300 $\leq T/K \leq$ 1500)) and Hindered Rotation Contribution to Thermodynamic Parameters. Harmonic vibrational frequencies calculated at the HF6-31G(d) level of theory and the moments of inertia from molecular structures optimized at MP2(full)/6-31G(d) are used to calculate the S°_{298} and $C_p(T)$'s.

Since a technique for the calculation of thermodynamic functions from hindered rotations with arbitrary potentials has been previously reported, ^{13,17,18} only a summary of the method



* +: Clockwise, -: Counter clockwise. The direction of dihedral angle observation is $C1 \rightarrow C2$.

Figure 5. MP2(full)/6-31G(d) determined geometry of 1,1,2-trifluo-ropropane.

is described. The technique employs expansion of the hindrance potential in a Fourier series, calculation of the Hamiltonian matrix in the basis of the wave functions of free internal rotation, and subsequent calculation of energy levels by direct diagonalization of the Hamiltonian matrix. Calculated internal rotation potential barriers versus dihedral angle are represented by a

TABLE 4: Energies and Rotational Barriers

		$ZPVE^{b}$		rotational barrier height
compound & rotor ^a	$MP2(full)/6-31G(d)^b$	(nonscaled)	total energy ^{b,c}	(kcal/mol)
$CH_2F-CH_2CH_3S$ $CH_2F-CH_2CH_3S2$ $CH_2F-CH_2CH_3E$ $CH_2F-CH_2CH_3E2$ $CH_2F-CH_2-CH_3S$ $CH_2FCH_2-CH_3S$ $CH_2FCH_2-CH_3E$	-217.674 172 -217.675 105 -217.667 327 -217.667 775 -217.675 105 -217.670 091	0.103 792 0.103 921 0.103 941 0.103 640 0.103 921 0.103 605	-217.581 783 -217.582 624 -217.574 518 -217.575 235 -217.582 808 -217.577 582	0.53 0.00 5.09 4.64 0.00 3.28
$\begin{array}{c} CHF_2-CH_2CH_3S\\ CHF_2-CH_2CH_3S2\\ CHF_2-CH_2CH_3E\\ CHF_2-CH_2CH_3E2\\ CHF_2-CH_2CH_3E2\\ CHF_2CH_2-CH_3S\\ CHF_2CH_2-CH_3E\\ \end{array}$	-316.709 584 -316.709 867 -316.702 319 -316.702 486 -316.709 867 -316.705 449	0.096 176 0.096 312 0.096 210 0.095 992 0.096 312 0.095 985	-316.623 959 -316.624 112 -316.616 413 -316.616 774 -316.624 350 -316.619 744	0.01 0.000 4.83 4.60 0.00 2.89
CF_3 - CH_2CH_3S CF_3 - CH_2CH_3E CF_3CH_2 - CH_3S CF_3CH_2 - CH_3S	-415.753 761 -415.746 573 -415.753 761 -415.749 464	0.087 572 0.087 481 0.087 572 0.087 286	-415.675 794 -415.668 462 -415.676 043 -415.671 526	0.00 4.60 0.00 2.83
$CH_2F-CHFCH_3S$ $CH_2F-CHFCH_3S2$ $CH_2F-CHFCH_3S3$ $CH_2F-CHFCH_3E$ $CH_2F-CHFCH_3E2$ $CH_2F-CHFCH_3E3$ $CH_2F-CHFCH_3E3$ $CH_2FCHF-CH_3S$ $CH_2FCHF-CH_3E$	$\begin{array}{r} -316.692\ 492\\ -316.693\ 292\\ -316.693\ 671\\ -316.680\ 738\\ -316.687\ 754\\ -316.687\ 316\\ -316.693\ 671\\ -316.687\ 968\end{array}$	0.096 040 0.096 211 0.096 155 0.096 094 0.096 089 0.095 903 0.096 155 0.095 820	$\begin{array}{r} -316.606\ 996\\ -316.607\ 630\\ -316.608\ 073\\ -316.594\ 936\\ -316.601\ 956\\ -316.601\ 684\\ -316.608\ 306\\ -316.602\ 411\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.68 \\ 0.28 \\ 0.00 \\ 8.24 \\ 3.84 \\ 4.01 \\ 0.00 \\ 3.55 \end{array}$
$CHF_2-CHFCH_3S\\CHF_2-CHFCH_3S2\\CHF_2-CHFCH_3S3\\CHF_2-CHFCH_3E\\CHF_2-CHFCH_3E2\\CHF_2-CHFCH_3E2\\CHF_2-CHFCH_3E3\\CHF_2CHF-CH_3S\\CHF_2CHF-CH_3E$	$\begin{array}{r} -415.722\ 494\\ -415.725\ 544\\ -415.724\ 912\\ -415.714\ 511\\ -415.719\ 974\\ -415.715\ 448\\ -415.725\ 544\\ -415.716\ 999\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.088\ 335\\ 0.088\ 400\\ 0.088\ 424\\ 0.088\ 500\\ 0.088\ 282\\ 0.088\ 296\\ 0.088\ 400\\ 0.087\ 998 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} -415.643\ 808\\ -415.646\ 803\\ -415.646\ 150\\ -415.635\ 489\\ -415.641\ 147\\ -415.636\ 608\\ -415.647\ 094\\ -415.638\ 426\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.88\\ 0.00\\ 0.41\\ 7.10\\ 3.55\\ 6.40\\ 0.00\\ 5.44 \end{array} $
$\begin{array}{l} CHF_2-CF_2CH_3S\\ CHF_2-CF_2CH_3S2\\ CHF_2-CF_2CH_3E\\ CHF_2-CF_2CH_3E2\\ CHF_2-CF_2-CH_3S\\ CHF_2CF_2-CH_3S\\ CHF_2CF_2-CH_3E \end{array}$	-514.755 836 -514.759 360 -514.746 539 -514.751 144 -514.759 360 -514.750 098	0.079 670 0.079 688 0.079 732 0.079 756 0.079 688 0.079 283	-514.684 866 -514.688 394 -514.675 346 -514.679 930 -514.688 664 -514.679 306	2.21 0.00 8.19 5.31 0.00 5.87
CF ₃ -CHFCH ₃ S CF ₃ -CHFCH ₃ E CF ₃ CHF-CH ₃ S CF ₃ CHF-CH ₃ E	-514.765 800 -514.758 246 -514.765 800 -514.760 703	0.079 590 0.079 642 0.079 590 0.079 273	-514.694 906 -514.687 134 -514.695 201 -514.689 920	0.00 4.88 0.00 3.31
$CF_3-CF_2CH_3S$ $CF_3-CF_2CH_3E$ $CF_3CF_2-CH_3S$ $CF_3CF_2-CH_3S$ $CF_3CF_2-CH_3E$	-613.796 345 -613.788 829 -613.796 345 -613.790 911	0.070 823 0.070 949 0.070 823 0.070 460	-613.733 262 -613.725 478 -613.733 573 -613.727 998	0.00 4.88 0.00 3.50

^{*a*} See Figure 9 to 16 for definition of geometric nomenclature. ^{*b*} In units of hartree. ^{*c*} Scaled ZPVE are included. The corresponding torsional frequencies are excluded.

truncated Fourier series:

$$V(\theta) = a_0 + a_1 \cos(\theta) + a_2 \cos(2\theta) + a_3 \cos(3\theta) + b_1 \sin(\theta) + b_2 \sin(2\theta) + b_3 \sin(3\theta)$$
(3)

where values of the coefficients a_i are calculated to provide the minimum and maxima of the torsional potentials with allowance of a shift of the theoretical extrema angular positions.

The Hamiltonian matrix is then truncated to the size of $2K_{\text{max}}$ + 1, where K_{max} is the maximum rotational quantum number considered. The truncated matrix (in reduced dimensionless form) is diagonalized, and the eigenvalues are used to calculate the partition function, entropy, heat capacity, etc. This is accomplished using a direct summation over the calculated energy levels according to standard expressions of statistical thermodynamics.¹⁹

Results and Discussion

1. Geometries. Figures 1-8 present MP2(full)/6-31G(d) determined geometries. Bond lengths, bond angles for the three

TABLE 5: $\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}_{298}$ for Rotational Conformers, Weight Fraction, and Overall $\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}_{298}$ Values

compd & rotor ^a	energy (kcal/mol)	partition coefficient	final value (kcal/mol)
CH ₂ FCH ₂ CH ₃ S	-66.93	0.2901	
CH ₂ FCH ₂ CH ₃ S2	-67.46	0.7099	-67.37
CHF ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃ S	-123.66	0.4958	
CHF ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃ S2	-123.67	0.5042	-123.66
CF ₃ CH ₂ CH ₃ S	-183.09	1.0000	-183.09
CH ₂ FCHFCH ₃ S	-109.27	0.1635	
CH ₂ FCHFCH ₃ S2	-109.67	0.3212	
CH ₂ FCHFCH ₃ S3	-109.95	0.5153	-109.75
CHF ₂ CHFCH ₃ S	-162.98	0.0271	
CHF ₂ CHFCH ₃ S2	-164.86	0.6462	
CHF ₂ CHFCH ₃ S3	-164.45	0.3267	-164.68
CHF ₂ CF ₂ CH ₃ S	-214.22	0.0234	
CHF ₂ CF ₂ CH ₃ S2	-216.43	0.9766	-216.38
CF ₃ CHFCH ₃ S	-221.57	1.0000	-221.57
CF ₃ CF ₂ CH ₃ S	-271.14	1.0000	-271.14

^a See Figures 9–16 for definition of geometric nomenclature.

backbone carbons, \angle C1C2C3, bond angles between the terminal atom (either F or H) and two backbone carbons, \angle (F or H)-





^a +: Clockwise, \prec Counter clockwise. The direction of dihedral angle observation is C1 \rightarrow C2.

Figure 6. MP2(full)/6-31G(d) determined geometry of 1,1,2,2-tetrafluoropropane.

T.	A	B	LE	6:	Rotational	Constants

species	I_a	I_b	I_c
CH ₂ FCH ₂ CH ₃	14.639	5.175	4.351
CHF ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	7.124	4.118	3.437
CF ₃ CH ₂ CH ₃	5.349	2.789	2.759
CH ₂ FCHFCH ₃	8.152	3.624	2.731
CHF ₂ CHFCH ₃	4.962	2.798	2.473
CHF ₂ CF ₂ CH ₃	3.511	2.374	2.022
CF ₃ CHFCH ₃	3.614	2.431	1.994
CF ₃ CF ₂ CH ₃	2.777	1.871	1.847

^a Optimized by MP2(full)/6-31G(d). In units of gigahertz.

 $C_x C_{yy}$ and dihedral angles that show the relation between carbon and fluorine are illustrated.

Figure 1 shows the MP2(full)/6-31G(d) determined geometry of 1-fluoropropane. The methyl-F gauche conformation consistently shows lower energy than the anti gauche conformation. We evaluate this as a F–H attractive interaction between fluorine connected to C₁ and hydrogen connected to C₃, but there is no strong evidence for it. Bond lengths between fluorine connected to C₁ and hydrogens connected to C₃ (H9, H10, and H11) are 2.566, 3.294, and 3.880 Å, respectively.

The evaluated bond lengths for C_1-C_2 in 1-fluoropropane, 1,1-difluoropropane, and 1,1,1-trifluoropropane show that an increase in fluorine number at the C_1 carbon site causes a decrease in the C_1-C_2 bond length. Evaluation of the C_1-C_2 and C_2-C_3 bond lengths in 2-fluoropropane and 2,2-difluoropropane also shows that an increase of fluorine number at the C_2 carbon causes a decrease in the C_1-C_2 and C_2-C_3 bond lengths. The C_1-C_2 and C_2-C_3 bond lengths in propane, 2-fluoropropane, and 2,2-difluoropropane, for which geometries



^a+: Clockwise, -: Counter clockwise. The direction of dihedral angle observation is C1 \rightarrow C2.

Figure 7. MP2(full)/6-31G(d) determined geometry of 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoropropane.

 TABLE 7: Coefficients of Truncated Fourier Series

 Representation Expansions for Internal Rotational Potentials

species	a_0	a_1	a_2	a_3	b_1	b_2	b_3
CH ₂ F-CH ₂ CH ₃	2.482	-0.026	0.326	2.306	0.000	0.000	0.000
CH ₂ FCH ₂ -CH ₃	1.640	0.000	0.000	1.640	0.000	0.000	0.000
CHF ₂ -CH ₂ CH ₃	2.410	-0.044	-0.108	2.346	0.000	0.000	0.000
CHF ₂ CH ₂ -CH ₃	1.445	0.000	0.000	1.445	0.000	0.000	0.000
CF ₃ -CH ₂ CH ₃	2.301	0.000	0.000	2.301	0.000	0.000	0.000
CF ₃ CH ₂ -CH ₃	1.417	0.000	0.000	1.417	0.000	0.000	0.000
CH ₂ F-CHFCH ₃	2.841	1.599	1.281	2.523	-0.164	-0.066	0.000
CH ₂ FCHF-CH ₃	1.777	0.000	0.000	1.777	0.000	0.000	0.000
CHF2-CHFCH3	3.263	0.925	0.493	2.419	-1.296	0.349	0.357
CHF ₂ CHF-CH ₃	2.720	0.000	0.000	2.720	0.000	0.000	0.000
CHF2-CF2CH3	3.892	1.733	0.202	2.361	0.000	0.000	0.000
CHF ₂ CF ₂ -CH ₃	2.936	0.000	0.000	2.936	0.000	0.000	0.000
CF ₃ -CHFCH ₃	2.439	0.000	0.000	2.439	0.000	0.000	0.000
CF ₃ CHF-CH ₃	1.657	0.000	0.000	1.657	0.000	0.000	0.000
CF3-CF2CH3	2.442	0.000	0.000	2.442	0.000	0.000	0.000
CF ₃ CF ₂ -CH ₃	1.750	0.000	0.000	1.750	0.000	0.000	0.000

are not shown, are 1.528, 1.516, and 1.508 Å, respectively. Figures 3, 7, and 8 support this observation and show that the bond length between C_2 and C_3 decreases with an increase in number of fluorine(s) at C_2 where no fluorine is on C_3 . Figures 1–3 show that the number of fluorines connected to C_1 does not significantly affect to the bond length of C_2 – C_3 . On the other hand, the interaction between the fluorine(s) on the C_1 with fluorine(s) and the methyl group bonded to C_2 causes an increase in the C_1 – C_2 bond length.

The bond length between carbon and fluorine decreases with an increase in fluorine number on the same carbon. The bond lengths between C_1 and F are 1.401, 1.375, and 1.353 or 1.354 Å for 1-fluoropropane, 1,1-difluoropropane, and 1,1,1-trifluo-



* +: Clockwise, -: Counter clockwise. The direction of dihedral angle observation is $C1 \rightarrow C2$.

Figure 8. MP2(full)/6-31G(d) determined geometry of 1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoropropane.



Figure 9. Potential barriers for internal rotations about C1-C2 and C2-C3 bonds of 1-fluoropropane.

ropropane, respectively. All C-H bond lengths are more than 0.01 Å longer than the normal C-H bond length in hydrocarbons.

The bond angle $\angle C_1 - C_2 - C_3$ is also listed in each figure. Interactions between the fluorines connected to different carbons



Figure 10. Potential barriers for internal rotations about C1-C2 and C2-C3 bonds of 1,1-difluoropropane.



Figure 11. Potential barriers for internal rotations about C1-C2 and C2-C3 bonds of 1,1,1-trifluoropropane.

show only slight effects on the bond angle $\angle C_1 - C_2 - C_3$. The interaction between the fluorines connected to the same carbon also appears to have no significant effect on the $\angle C_1 - C_2 - C_3$ bond angle.

2. Frequencies. Table 1 lists the HF/6-31G(d) determined frequencies of fluorinated propane compounds: 1-fluoropropane, 1,1-difluoropropane, 1,1,1-trifluoropropane, 1,2-difluoropropane, 1,1,2-trifluoropropane, 1,1,2,2-tetrafluoropropane, 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoropropane, 1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoropropane. The first two numbers in Table 1 are torsional frequencies, which are excluded from S°_{298} and $C_p(T)$ calculations. The first number is the torsional frequency for C₁ and C₂. The interaction between fluorine(s) connected to C₁ with fluorine(s) and C₃ connected to C₂ lowers the torsional frequencies. The second number is

TIDLE 0. Ideal Ous I have Inclinibaynamic I topernes	TABLE 8:	Ideal	Gas-Phase	Thermodynamic	Properties ^a
--	----------	-------	------------------	---------------	--------------------------------

species and										
symmetry no.		${H_{\mathrm{f}}}^{\circ}{}_{298}{}^{b}$	$S^{\circ}_{298}{}^{c}$	$C_{p300}{}^{c}$	C_{p400}	C_{p500}	C_{p600}	C_{p800}	C_{p1000}	C_{p1500}
CFH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	TVR^d		63.73 ^g	15.16	20.10	24.82	28.96	35.57	40.44	47.83
(3)	internal rotor 1 ^e		5.38	2.41	2.45	2.43	2.35	2.11	1.87	1.48
	internal rotor 2 ^f		4.28	2.13	2.19	2.10	1.96	1.70	1.51	1.26
	total	-67.37	73.39	19.70	24.74	29.35	33.27	39.38	43.82	50.57
	Frenkel et al.h	-67.83	72.84	19.71	24.65	29.18	33.02	39.04	43.42	
	Stull et al.i	-67.20	72.84	19.83	24.55	28.99	32.82	38.88	43.37	
CHF ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	TVR^d		67.31	17.29	22.45	27.18	31.23	37.52	42.06	48.81
(3)	internal rotor 1 ^e		5.75	2.19	2.30	2.33	2.28	2.08	1.86	1.46
	internal rotor 2 ^f		4.45	2.16	2.14	2.00	1.84	1.58	1.41	1.20
	total	-123.66	77.51	21.64	26.89	31.51	35.35	41.18	45.33	51.47
CF ₃ CH ₂ CH ₃	TVR^d		68.43	19.97	25.33	30.01	33.90	39.78	43.91	49.92
(9)	internal rotor 1 ^e		5.89	2.19	2.31	2.33	2.27	2.06	1.84	1.43
	internal rotor 2 ^f		4.47	2.16	2.13	1.98	1.82	1.57	1.40	1.20
	total	-183.09	78.79	24.32	29.77	34.32	37.99	43.41	47.15	52.55
CH ₂ FCHFCH ₃	TVR^d		67.98	17.61	22.62	27.29	31.30	37.55	42.06	48.80
(3)	internal rotor 1 ^e		5.58	2.50	2.44	2.35	2.23	2.01	1.83	1.51
	internal rotor 2 ^f		4.18	2.10	2.20	2.15	2.03	1.77	1.57	1.30
	total	-109.75	77.74	22.21	27.26	31.79	35.56	41.33	45.46	51.61
CHF ₂ CHFCH ₃	TVR^d		71.27	19.75	24.96	29.63	33.56	39.51	43.70	49.79
(3)	internal rotor 1 ^e		5.36	2.89	2.88	2.79	2.65	2.36	2.08	1.56
	internal rotor 2 ^f		3.72	1.86	2.09	2.21	2.25	2.15	1.98	1.60
	total	-164.68	80.35	24.50	29.93	34.63	38.46	44.02	47.76	52.95
CHF ₂ CF ₂ CH ₃	TVR^d		74.59	22.59	27.92	32.49	36.22	41.74	45.51	50.88
(3)	internal rotor 1 ^e		4.48	3.51	3.87	3.78	3.50	2.88	2.38	1.67
	internal rotor 2 ^f		7.08	2.33	2.23	2.05	1.86	1.56	1.33	0.94
	total	-216.38	82.72	27.92	33.84	38.46	41.97	46.82	49.94	54.14
CF ₃ CHFCH ₃	TVR^d		72.14	22.41	27.82	32.43	36.20	41.75	45.54	50.92
(9)	internal rotor 1 ^e		6.20	2.18	2.30	2.34	2.30	2.10	1.86	1.35
	internal rotor 2 ^f		4.29	2.13	2.19	2.11	1.97	1.71	1.52	1.26
	total	-221.57	82.63	26.72	32.31	36.88	40.47	45.56	48.92	53.53
CF ₃ CF ₂ CH ₃	TVR^d		75.45	25.27	30.76	35.27	38.85	43.97	47.56	52.01
(9)	internal rotor 1 ^e		6.38	2.19	2.30	2.34	2.30	2.09	1.82	1.26
	internal rotor 2 ^f		4.23	2.11	2.20	2.14	2.02	1.76	1.56	1.29
	total	-271.14	86.06	29.57	35.26	39.75	43.17	47.82	50.94	54.56

^{*a*} Thermodynamic properties are referenced to a standard state of an ideal gas of pure enantiometer at 1 atm. Torsional frequencies are excluded in the calculations of entropies and heat capacities. Instead, an exact contribution from hindered rotations about the C–C is included. See text.^{*b*} In units of kcal/mol. ^{*c*} In units of cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹. ^{*d*} Sum of contributions from translations, external rotations, and vibrations. ^{*e*} Contribution from internal rotation about the C1–C2 bond. ^{*f*} Contribution from internal rotation about the C2–C3 bond. ^{*g*} Symmetry number is taken into account (–*R* ln(number of symmetry)). ^{*h*} Reference 5. ^{*i*} Reference 4.



Figure 12. Potential barriers for internal rotations about C1-C2 and C2-C3 bonds of 1,2-difluoropropane.

the torsional frequency for C_2 and C_3 . No significant difference in frequency is observed in this C2–C3 torsion among the eight compounds; this is attributed to a lack of fluorine–fluorine or carbon–fluorine interaction between the C_2 and C_3 groups.



Figure 13. Potential barriers for internal rotations about C1-C2 and C2-C3 bonds of 1,1,2-trifluoropropane.

3. Enthalpies of Formation ($\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}_{298}$). G2MP2 determined total energies of the lowest energy conformation for the eight compounds are listed in Table 2. The $\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}_{298}$'s from the literature for use in isodesmic reactions are also listed in Table



Figure 14. Potential barriers for internal rotations about C1-C2 and C2-C3 bonds of 1,1,2,2-tetrafluoropropane.

3. The $\Delta H_{\text{rxn}}^{\circ}_{298}$ for reaction 2 is calculated using the G2MP2 determined total energies.

$$\label{eq:CH3} \begin{split} \mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{CH}_2\mathrm{CH}_3 + \mathrm{CFH}_2\mathrm{CH}_3 - (\mathrm{CFH}_2\mathrm{CH}_2\mathrm{CH}_3 + \mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{CH}_3) = \\ -0.02 \ \mathrm{kcal/mol} \end{split}$$

The $\Delta H_{\rm f^{\circ}298}$ of 1-fluoropropane is calculated using the known $\Delta H_{\rm f^{\circ}298}$ for ethane, propane, and fluoroethane with the calculated $\Delta H_{\rm rxn^{\circ}298}$. The calculation results in a value of -67.46 kcal/mol.

There are three staggered conformations in 1-fluoropropane. Two of them are F–CH₃ gauche staggered conformation and one of them is anti-gauche conformation. Gauche staggered conformation shows lower energy than anti-gauche staggered conformation as shown in Table 4 and Figure 9. $\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}_{298}$ of higher energy conformation is estimated by adding the difference of the staggered conformation calculated at the MP2(full)/6-31G(d) level. This difference is 0.53 kcal/mol as listed in Table 4 and described below. $\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}_{298}$ of the rotational conformers, their weight fraction, and overall $\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}_{298}$ are listed in Table 5. Literature values^{4,5} are listed in Table 8. Our result is between and in good agreement with the literature values. The $\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}_{298}$ values of the seven remaining compounds are calculated in the same manner and listed in Table 8.

4. Rotational Barriers. Barriers for internal rotations are calculated as the difference between the total energy of each conformation and that of the global equilibrium plus the scaled ZPVE difference (see Table 4). The curves are fit by a truncated Fourier series (eq 3). Table 7 lists the coefficients of the respective Fourier series.

Figure 9 shows the calculated rotational barriers for 1-fluoropropane. Solid and broken lines in Figures 9–16 show rotational barriers for C_1-C_2 and C_2-C_3 , respectively, for the eight fluoropropanes. The C-F eclipsed conformation for C_1-C_2 in 1-fluoropropane has the highest rotational barrier, which is 5.09 kcal/mol. The C-F gauche staggered conformation is more stable than C-F anti staggered conformation. Since this rotational barrier curve is symmetric with respect to zero torsion angle (\angle F- $C_1-C_2-C_3$), it can be fit by a cosine series. The calculated rotational barrier for C_2-C_3 is 3.28 kcal/mol, and



Figure 15. Potential barriers for internal rotations about C1-C2 and C2-C3 bonds of 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoropropane.

the rotational barrier curves of C_2-C_3 for all eight compounds can be fit by using only a_0 and a_3 in a truncated Fourier series.

Figure 10 shows the rotational barriers for 1,1-difluoropropane. The curves are similar in form to 1-fluoropropane. The C-F eclipsed conformation has the highest rotational barrier, which is 4.83 kcal/mol. The dual C-F gauche staggered conformation is more stable than the single C-F gauche staggered conformation. The rotational barrier for C₂-C₃ is 2.89 kcal/mol.

Figure 11 shows the rotational barriers for 1,1,1-trifluoropropane. The rotational barriers are 4.60 and 2.83 kcal/mol for C_1-C_2 and C_2-C_3 , respectively.

Figure 12 shows the rotational barriers for 1,2-difluoropropane. The F–F eclipsed conformation for C_1-C_2 has the highest rotational barrier, which is 8.24 kcal/mol. This rotational barrier is also the highest among the eight fluorinated propane compounds in this study. This trend is also seen in our previous study on fluorinated ethane,¹³ where F–F eclipsed conformation for 1,2-difluoroethane shows the highest rotational barrier among nine fluorinated ethane compounds. The F–C gauche conformation shows the most stable conformation. The rotational barrier for C_2-C_3 is 3.55 kcal/mol.

Figure 13 shows the rotational barrier for 1,1,2-trifluoropropane. The F–C eclipsed conformation for C_1-C_2 shows a 7.10 kcal/mol rotational barrier. The single F–F, dual C–F gauche conformation is the most stable. The rotational barrier for C_2 – C_3 is 5.44 kcal/mol.

Figure 14 shows the rotational barrier for 1,1,2,2-tetrafluoropropane. The dual F–F eclipsed conformation for C_1-C_2 has the highest rotational barrier, which is 8.19 kcal/mol. The dual C–F gauche conformation shows the most stable conformation. The rotational barrier for C_2-C_3 is 5.87 kcal/mol.

Figures 15 and 16 show the rotational barrier for 1,1,1,2tetrafluoropropane and 1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoropropane, respectively. The rotational barriers are 4.88 and 3.31 kcal/mol for C_1-C_2 and C_2-C_3 , respectively, for 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoropropane. The rotational barriers are 4.88 and 3.50 kcal/mol for C_1-C_2 and C_2-C_3 , respectively, for 1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoropropane.

5. Standard Entropy (S°_{298}) and Heat Capacities ($C_p(T)$, $300 \le T/K \le 1500$). Standard entropy (S°_{298}) and heat capacities



Figure 16. Potential barriers for internal rotations about C1-C2 and C2-C3 bonds of 1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoropropane.

 $(C_p(T)$'s, $300 \le T/K \le 1500)$ are listed in Table 8. TVR indicates the sum of contributions from translations, external rotations, and vibrations. Internal rotors 1 and 2 indicate contributions to S°_{298} and $C_p(T)$'s from internal rotation about C_1-C_2 and C_2-C_3 , respectively. Rotational constants for calculation of the contribution from internal rotations to S°_{298} and $C_p(T)$'s are determined from the geometries optimized by MP2(full)/6-31G(d) level of theory and listed in Table 6. Coefficients of the truncated Fourier series representing the internal rotational potentials are tabulated in Table 7. Literature values for 1-fluoropropane are listed in Table 8.^{4,5} The calculated S°_{298} is 0.55 cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹ higher than the literature values than the literature data.

Summary

Thermodynamic properties ($\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}{}_{298}$, $S^{\circ}{}_{298}$, and $C_p(T)$'s (300 $\leq T/{\rm K} \leq 1500$)) for 1-fluoropropane, 1,1-difluoropropane, 1,1,1-trifluoropropane, 1,2-difluoropropane, 1,1,2-trifluoropropane, 1,1,2,2-tetrafluoropropane, 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoropropane, and 1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoropropane are calculated. The $\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}{}_{298}$ for the above eight compounds are estimated using the G2MP2 composite calculation methods and isodesmic reactions. Lowest energy geometries correspond to the maximum number of C–F gauche conformations. A general isodesmic reaction scheme for fluorinated propane compounds is presented and suggested for other halogenated propanes. $S^{\circ}{}_{298}$'s and $C_p(T)$'s are estimated

using scaled HF/6-31G(d) determined frequencies and MP2(full)/ 6-31G(d) determined geometries. Hindered internal rotational contributions for S°_{298} 's and $C_p(T)$'s are calculated using the rigid rotor harmonic oscillator approximation with direct integration over energy levels of the intramolecular rotation potential energy curve. Rotational barrier heights are reported for both C₁-C₂ and C₂-C₃ internal rotors. The calculated thermodynamic properties ($\Delta H_{f^{\circ}_{298}}$, S°_{298} , and $C_p(T)$ (300 \leq $T/K \leq$ 1500)) for 1-fluoropropane are compared with literature values and shown to be in good agreement.

Acknowledgment. This work was partially supported by funding from the USEPA Northeast Regional Research Center. Joseph W. Bozzelli acknowledges an award from the National Research Council and collaboration with Dr. Harvey Paige at Wright-Patterson AFB.

References and Notes

(1) Wallington, T. J.; Schneider, W. F.; Worsnop, D. R.; Nielsen, O. J.; Sehested, J.; Debruny, W. J.; Shorter, J. A. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1994**, 28, 320.

(2) Schulz, W. Chem. Eng. News 1998, 14.

(3) Mogelberg, T. E.; Sehested, J.; Bilde, M. J. Phys. Chem. 1996, 100, 8882.

(4) Stull, D. R.; Westrum, E. F.; Sinke, G. C. *The Chemical Thermodynamics of Organic Compounds*; Robert E. Krieger Publishing Company: Malabar, FL, 1987.

(5) Frenkel, M.; Kabo, G. J.; Marsh, K. N.; Roganov, G. N.; Wilhoit, R. C. *Thermodynamics of Organic Compounds in the Gas State*; Texas

A&M University System: College Station, TX, 1994; Vol. 2.
(6) Chen, S. S.; Rodgers, A. S.; Wilhoit, R. C.; Zwolinski, B. J. J.

Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 1975, 4, 441.
(7) Durig, R. J.; Liu, J.; Little, T. S.; Kalasinsky, V. F. J. Phys. Chem. 1992, 96, 8224.

(8) Chen, Y.; Paddison, S. J.; Tschuikow-Roux, E. J. Phys. Chem. 1994, 98, 1100.

(9) Papasavva, S.; Illinger, K. H.; Kenny, J. E. J. Phys. Chem. 1996, 100, 10100.

(10) Berry, R. J. J. Phys. Chem. A 1997, 100, 77405.

(11) Zachariah, M. R.; Westmoreland, P. R.; Burgess, D. R.; Tsang,

W.; Mellius, C. F. J. Phys. Chem. 1996, 100, 8737.

(12) Parra, R. D.; Zeng, X. C. J. Phys. Chem. A 1998, 102, 654.

(13) Yamada, T.; Lay, T. H.; Bozzelli, J. W. J. Phys. Chem. 1998, 102, 7286.

(14) Curtiss, L. A.; Raghavachari, K.; Pople, J. A. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 1293.

(15) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B. G.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Keith, T.; Petersson, G. A.; Montgomery, J. A.; Raghavachari, K.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Ortiz, J. V.; Foresman, J. B.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Peng, C. Y.; Ayala, P. Y.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Andres, J. L.; Replogle, E. S.; Gomperts, R.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Binkley, J. S.; Defrees, D. J.; Baker, J.; Stewart, J. P.; Head-Gordon, M.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. *Gaussian 94*; Gaussian, Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA, 1995.

(16) Hehre, W. J.; Radom, L.; Schleyer, P. R.; Pople, J. A. *Ab Initio Molecular Orbital Theory*; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1986.

(17) Lay, T. H.; Krasnoperov, L. N.; Venanzi, C. A.; Bozzelli, J. W. J. Phys. Chem. **1996**, 100, 8240.

(18) Krasnoperov, L. N.; Lay, T. H.; Shokhirev, N. V. Thermodynamic Properties of Hindered Rotor by Diagonalization of Hamiltonian Matrix.

(19) McQuarrie, D. A. *Statistical Mechanics*; Harper & Row: New York, 1976.